

against the orders to the Commissioners,

S.D., who decided in his No 83 dated

24th April 1882 That:-

(a) No scale fee should be imposed
on the watans :

(b) No poligi should be paid to
the watandar village officers. ~~but~~

(c) The watandars should not take
Aja (perquisites) from Rayats etc.

86
21

The Vernacular papers relating to the
subject are submitted herewith ^{for} favor of
perusal.

I further beg to bring to your kind notice
that On 5th November 1890, my predecessor

R. B. Gururao Krishna Bivani again pro-
posed in his report No. 148 (sent herewith)

No 15 of 1897

Camp Kod

20th January 1897

Dewan Savanur requested to report whether in Savanur state the village officers are paid potgi from the treasury the amount being collected as judi on their watans - as in British territory or not. It seems that in some cases this is ~~done~~, while in others the officiators are paid no potgi but have to remunerate themselves as best they can.

On receipt of the report steps will be taken to remedy this apparent anomaly: for at present, watandars as far as possible avoid service and regard the right of service as

a burden and not as a
privilege as is the case in
British territory:

Recd
28/1/47

Handman
Assistant Political
Agent
M. S.

၁ ကန်တန်ကောင်း ဗဟို - အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

Reed
.-1-97

အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

 
အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

၀.၁ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

159

၀.၁ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

အလုပ်အကိုင်အရာရှိ

No 41 of 1896/97.

From,

The Divan of Savanur.

To,

The Second Assistant Collector
and Assistant Political Agent
Dharwar.

Savanur 16th of Feb. 1897

Sir,

With reference to your No 15 dated
20th January 1897 I have the honour of reporting
that the Kulkarnes of Jekinkatti, Yekkitkop
and Savanur Pagalees (Bazars); and Savanur
Police Patil, Patil of Yekkitkop and Shetti of
Savanur Bazars are all amanees. (Stipendiaries)
Their pay is disbursed from the treasury every month.

The patils and Kulkarnies of other villages are all watandars. They are paid no potaji from the treasury, but serve by enjoying the profits of watan lands for which they pay only mamul judi. Whenever representative watandars nominate deputies to officiate for them, the deputies are remunerated by their nominators.

With a view to introduce the system of paying potaji to the watandar village officers also, Seale judi patrakas were prepared and in 1879/80 orders were issued to the village officers to collect judi accordingly. But the watandars appealed against the orders to the Commissioner, S. D., who decided in his No 63 dated 24th April 1882 that: —

(a) No Seale judi should be imposed on the watans.

(b) No potaji should be paid to the watandar

village officers.

(c) The wālandars should not take Aya (perquisites) from rayāls &c.


The vernacular papers relating to the subject are submitted herewith for favour of perusal.

I further beg to bring to your kind notice that on 5th November 1890, my predecessor R. B. Gurnaras Krishna Divan again proposed in his report No 148 (sent herewith) to the late Nawab that on the ground stated by him, wālandar Pātils & Kulkarness should be paid potaji the amount being collected as judi on their watans. But, as the matter remained undisposed of until the death of the Nawab, the Political Agent ordered on 18-10-92 that no further steps were to be taken in the matter

matter as it had once been previously decided.

I have the honour of being,
Sir,

your most obedient servant



Divan of Savanur.

RKS.

V. 08

No 172 of 1900.
30-4-1900.

To,

The Asst P. S.

Sir,

I respectfully beg to

Post Agents memo no 1157
of 14th February 1900 recd
under your end 119 of 16.2.1900
your end 287 of 26th ultimo
with accompaniments

return here
with the
papers margin
all notes
on the subject

of the conduct of the village officer
of Hotanhalbi of the Savanur
State & to state that the report
therein called for has been sub-
mitted ^{by you} under this office ver.
no 301 of 30th April 1900.

I have &c

Drivan

Read Divans Ver no 354 dated 12-6-1900:

Accordingly to the order of Asst. P. O. Byant. The Patil of Holanahalli Shankraya bin Kotraya has been dismissed from the State Services. Shrinivas Parasaram Kulkarni of Holanahalli & Kasinath Gururao Kulkarni of Torur have been suspended for 6 months.

Gururao Pandurang Kulkarni of Hahikatti has been temporarily appointed as Patil & Kulkarni of Holanahalli. Raghavendra Charya Kulkarni of Marthuri has been temporarily appointed as Kulkarni

of Torur. Mulikatti is one mile from Torur & Mulkeri
 is very near to Torur. For want of new hands Gurus
 has been appointed both as Patil & Mulkeri of Hotanahalli.
 A report to appoint another man to Hotanahalli will
 shortly be made.


In accordance with the last para Kashinath
 Gurus has been informed that if he does not stay
 at Torur steps will be taken to appoint another man
 in his place.

ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು
 ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.

೧. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.
 ೨. ಈ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ತಿಳಿದುಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದು.

not seem desirable to ^{prosecute them} bring them to justice. They
have already been suspended for six months and
this seems to be sufficient punishment for
their failure to give information on the subject.

3 The evidence obtainable against ^{the late Patel} Chankraya has
been detailed in the chief constable's report & it
appears sufficient to ~~prove~~ prove his guilt under sec.
176 I.P.C. The said Chankraya is not a wantandar and
on his dismissal, a fit nominee ^{on behalf} of the wantandar patil
has been appointed for Holamballi. If the punishment
of dismissal already awarded be, in the opinion of the
a. p. agent, inadequate for intentional omission to
give information on the subject, orders may be kindly
issued to proceed accordingly & the co-s. would proceed
against Chankraya according to law.


Brihan of sevam

No 61 of 1902

To, The A. P. agent

25-2-02

Sir, In reply to your memo
no 125 dated 21 Inst I
beg to intimate that the sum of
Rs 7 received by p. o. order
has this day been paid
to the Police Patel of Savanur.
His receipt is enclosed.
² as regards para 2 of yr memo
I have ~~given~~ the P. P.'s ~~own~~ explanation
~~wherein~~ together with the
copy of the Acct produced by
him showing the details of Rs
12-7-8 the amount of the
bill originally furnished by him.

I have ~~to~~
R. P. S. N.
Divan.

No 125.

Camp Dhumwad

21st February 1902

Memo

The Divan of Savanur
is requested to kindly pay the
account of Rs 7 to the Village Officer.

2. The amount given in the bill
forwarded by the Divan is not
understood. Rs 7 is stated to be

the amount of the bill given at
the time ^{his} ~~my~~ camp was at Savanur.

The Village Officers should be in-
formed, unless they give a satis-
factory explanation that increasing
the amount subsequently on the chance
of my forgetting the proper sum is
sharp practice which is likely to
bring them into trouble.

-02

12/2/02
D. D. P. M.

2. 20 39

No 112 of 1902

Camp Mavinkot

19th March 1902.

Memo.

Rs are sent to the Divan

with reference to his no. 61 of 25/2/02 for
payment to the Savanur Village Officers.

2. The Divan's letter contains a
contradiction of a statement made

by the undersigned in his previous memo.

The undersigned does not at all approve
of being contradicted.

Signature

A. P. Agent

22-3-02

Order
Asst. Secy

No 101

To, The A. P. Agent

— 22 March 1902

Sir, with refce to your memo no.

7.
11/2 of 19 Inst I beg to forward the
receipt of the Police Patel of
Savanur in acknowledgment of
the sum of Rs 5.

Thavet
of N D J S
Divan

Office note - The Divan is
in no way responsible for the
contradiction referred to but
does not consider it advisable
to offer any explanation until
he is called upon to do so. The
P. P. sent a bill to the A. P. Agents
Clerk privately & his explanation
as given by him ^{interview in the} was taken down
in support of the Bill ~~forwarded~~ ^{forwarded}
by him to the Clerk, as required
by the A. P. Agents previous Memo &
forwarded to that officer without any comment.
The remark in para 2 above
would seem to be the result of some
infortunate misunderstanding
N D J S

Below No 3846 of 10-1-03 from the Collector and
Political Agent, forwarding a Memorandum
regarding ^{the} potgi to Basangorda in Murdangoda
of Madrinkop for August/98 to October/01.

No 1 of 1903

Redmitted Savanur
~~Returned~~ with compliments with
reference to this office Vernacular No 99
of 25th March 1903.

✓ OS

Rh
H. Diwan Savanur.

Below A. p. Agent's No 836 of 22nd January
1903 forwarding A. p. Agent's No 215 of 15th
January 1903 for immediate action & report.
regarding the potgi of Hakdar Patil Basan-
gondra bin Inardangonda of Wadikhopa

No 48 of 1903

26th Feb 1903.

Resubmitted to the political Agent.

(2) When the up's visited the village about
the middle of this month, the matter was
amicably settled. The petitioner has
agreed to receive his potgi at the rate
of Re 1 per month. The watanदार who
appointed him being in poor circumst-
-ances have been given a fortnight's
time to settle the matter.

Rh

cc. Divan Savarn.

Through the assistant political Agent.

Under A. P. Agents No 3021
dated 10 June 1902

No 253 of 1902

29 June 1902

In reply to the above
I beg to report as follows:

2. The ~~specimen~~ of ~~payment~~
~~of~~ ~~patge~~ to the petitioner
~~of~~ ~~patge~~ for 3 years & 3 m.
remained in abeyance

pending receipt of orders
on a report from R. B. N. R.

The ~~made~~ ~~late~~ ~~Divan~~ in
regarding the conduct of petitioner

in the matter of ~~remittance~~ of
felling 2 Nim Trees.

This matter was at last
disposed by the ~~Ad~~ in his
No 620 dated 6 May 1902

It was directed that a warning should be
given to the petitioner.

3 It was directed in the A.
P. Agents No 470 dated 10 March 1902

580
X No 817
No 817
January 1901

1.
that the petitioner should be paid
Rozet at the rate of Rs 3 per

(in 3 years & 3 months) and orders
were accordingly ^{given} to the Village

officers to recover the amount
from all watan-dars ~~that~~ It will
~~that~~ be seen from the copies
ending with this office Ver. No 127

dated today that the present
Village officers of Wadi Kop
are not responsible for the
delay in the payment of the
Rozet to the petitioner but ^{as alleged in the above} petition
that the body of the watan-dars
are too poor to pay the
amount at once. On receipt

of the orders in the last reference
the necessary orders will be
issued to the Village officers, but
it ~~will~~ is not possible to recover ^{as will appear from that paper}
the whole or part of the Rozet ~~that~~
until the next ^{year} harvest.

4. It will be seen ^{thus} that the
complaint of the petitioner against the

present village officers of Watson
Kop ~~in the matter of~~ delay
* in the payment of his
petty is groundless & that
his petition is ~~merely~~
simply vexatious.

N. D. White
D. W. W.

Q No 6076

Pol. Department.

Bombay, Castle.

26th Sep: 1905

To,

The Commissioner, S. D.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No 239, dated the 22nd August 1905 relative to the proposals for applying the scale, I am directed to state that Government approve your suggestion to introduce in Savanes rates similar to those that may be decided on for the adjoining British territory.

I have &c —

(sd) S. W. Edgerly
Chief Secy to Govt.

No Pol. 298 of 1905

Belgaum 5th October 05.

Copy together with a copy of this office No Pol/239 dated 22 August 1905 forwarded to the Collector and Political Agent, Dharmar for information & guidance.

(sd) W. W. Drent.
Commr. S. D.

c No 6346 of 1905

Dharwar 23 Oct. 05.

Copy together with a copy
of the Commissioner's no Pol/239
dated 22 August 1905 forwarded to
the Assistant Political Agent, Savner
for information and guidance with
reference to his no 285 of 19 August 1905.

2. A Copy of the statement of
scale
~~of~~ remuneration, accompanies.

(sd) M. C. Gibbs
Poll. Agent

No. 508 of 1905

Dharwar 30 October 1905

Copies forwarded to the Dharwar
with reference to this ^{office} no. 232 of
20 July 04.

Statement accompanying to
be returned duly verified.

[Handwritten signatures and stamps]
A.P. Agent

In no 680. The accompanying statement
has been ret'd to the A.P.A. duly verified with Mr.
this Office Ver. A.P.A. J. 20 65-11-05
D. Divanadar

No Pol 239 of 1905

Belgaum 22. August 1905

< 10,

The Chief Secretary to Government
Political Department.

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose

1. This office no. S. Pol. 63 of 29th
May 05 to the Collector, D.M.

2) His reply no 4685 of 8-7-05

3) This office no. Pol. 173 of 14-7-05
to the Collector.

4) The Collector's no 5618 —
dated 20-July 1905.

Herewith, copy of the
marginally noted Cor.
respondence and with
reference to Govern-
ment letter no 158 of
dated the 9th March 05
to report that I am

of opinion that the enforcement of the
Schedule proposed by Government is likely
to cause great discontent among the
watan-dars in possession of the watan
lands by depriving them of the greater
part of their profits for the benefit
of the officers. Moreover the majority
of the villages, are very small containing
less than 500 inhabitants in some cases
less than 200 or even 100. The collections
of revenue too are in many cases small.
A uniform remuneration of Rs 120/-

would be too much in the majority of cases.

I am, therefore, of opinion that the same scheme should be introduced as may be found suitable in British Territory.

Mr. Gibb & McNeill have both recommended that the Wingate scale should be introduced and excess judi levied proportionately on all the Watans to provide the necessary amount, but no more.

But as proposals are now under consideration to increase the Patils emoluments above the Wingate Scale in British territory, I think that the same rates as may be decided upon there should be enforced in Savorus.

2. The statement of scale remuneration received with Government letter no 2753 of 2 May 1905 is herewith enclosed.

I have &c

(sd) W. W. D. Esq.

Commissioner S. D.

True copy.

(sd) Mr. C. Gibb

Pl. M. A. 1905